

How different are nanobody-stabilized GPCR structures from their G-protein-stabilized equivalents?

Noureldin Saleh, Passainte Ibrahim and Timothy Clark

Computer-Chemie-Centrum and Interdisciplinary Center for Molecular Materials
Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Nögelsbachstraße 25, 91052
Erlangen, Germany.

The G-protein coupled receptor (GPCR) family constitutes the majority of drug targets.¹ Despite the diversity of their biological roles, GPCRs adapt the same structural architecture of seven transmembrane (TM) helices² and signal mainly through coupling to heterotrimeric G-proteins.^{3,4} However, G-proteins are extremely sensitive to detergents, pH and nucleotides, which are often needed to crystallize GPCRs for X-ray crystallography.⁵ Nanobodies represent a successful alternative to G-proteins in stabilizing active-state GPCRs.^{5,6} Their importance in GPCR structural biology is emphasized by their role in crystallization of all but one of the stabilized active-state, Class A rhodopsin, GPCRs.⁷⁻⁹ Extensive molecular-dynamics simulations including metadynamics enhanced sampling were used to compare the effect of these nanobodies on the binding modes of the co-crystallized agonists and the organization of the binding pocket of the three nanobody-stabilized GPCR's crystals structures with the G-protein complexes. Our results show ligand-specific changes that can alter the agonist binding modes.

- (1) Ma, P.; Zimmel, R. *Nature reviews. Drug discovery* **2002**, *1*, 571.
- (2) Pierce, K. L.; Premont, R. T.; Lefkowitz, R. J. *Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology* **2002**, *3*, 639.
- (3) Neves, S. R.; Ram, P. T.; Iyengar, R. *Science* **2002**, *296*, 1636.
- (4) Oldham, W. M.; Hamm, H. E. *Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology* **2008**, *9*, 60.
- (5) Steyaert, J.; Kobilka, B. K. *Current opinion in structural biology* **2011**, *21*, 567.
- (6) Ghosh, E.; Kumari, P.; Jaiman, D.; Shukla, A. K. *Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology* **2015**, *16*, 69.
- (7) Kruse, A. C.; Ring, A. M.; Manglik, A.; Hu, J.; Hu, K.; Eitel, K.; Hubner, H.; Pardon, E.; Valant, C.; Sexton, P. M.; Christopoulos, A.; Felder, C. C.; Gmeiner, P.; Steyaert, J.; Weis, W. I.; Garcia, K. C.; Wess, J.; Kobilka, B. K. *Nature* **2013**, *504*, 101.
- (8) Huang, W.; Manglik, A.; Venkatakrisnan, A. J.; Laeremans, T.; Feinberg, E. N.; Sanborn, A. L.; Kato, H. E.; Livingston, K. E.; Thorsen, T. S.; Kling, R. C.; Granier, S.; Gmeiner, P.; Husbands, S. M.; Traynor, J. R.; Weis, W. I.; Steyaert, J.; Dror, R. O.; Kobilka, B. K. *Nature* **2015**.
- (9) Rasmussen, S. G. F.; Choi, H. J.; Fung, J. J.; Pardon, E.; Casarosa, P.; Chae, P. S.; DeVree, B. T.; Rosenbaum, D. M.; Thian, F. S.; Kobilka, T. S.; Schnapp, A.; Konetzki, I.; Sunahara, R. K.; Gellman, S. H.; Pautsch, A.; Steyaert, J.; Weis, W. I.; Kobilka, B. K. *Nature* **2011**, *469*, 175.